1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR 2 THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO - EASTERN DISTRICT - - - - - - x 3 4 MENG HUANG, Plaintiff, 5 : : Case No.: 6 : 2:19-cv-1976 7 THE OHIO STATE 8 UNIVERSITY and 9 GIORGIO RIZZONI, 10 Defendants. 11 DEPOSITION OF LAUREN RIKLEEN 12 13 Conducted Virtually Friday, March 12th, 2021 14 9:02 a.m. EST 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Job No.: 356168 Pages: 1 - 104 24 Reported By: Megan Kurwitz 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Do you solemnly swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. CORL:

Q Ms. Rikleen, good morning. My name is Christina Corl. We met before we went on the record this morning. I represent the defendants in this case, the Ohio State University and Dr. Giorgio Rizzoni.

Now, I know you have not worked as an expert witness before, but have you ever been deposed before?

A I have not.

Q Okay. Well, this is exciting. So let me give you -- I know you're an attorney, and you may already know this, but for purposes of the record, let me give you some ground rules. As you can see, Megan is here taking down everything both of us say. So it's important, even though we're on video, that you speak your answers out loud as opposed to nodding your head or shaking your head

1	Q Okay. So tell me now, I know you're a
2	licensed attorney; is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Are you licensed anywhere except
5	Massachusetts?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. And so tell me I want to know a
8	little bit about are you currently actively
9	practicing law?
10	A No.
11	Q When is the last time you actively
12	practiced law?
13	A I left my law firm at the end of 2010.
14	Q Okay. And while and so when did you
15	start practicing? Do you remember the year?
16	A I graduated law school in '79.
17	Q Okay. Did you start practicing the same
18	year or about them?
19	A I had I had I worked for about a
20	year and a half for an organization providing
21	judicial education in Massachusetts. And after
.22	that, I went to the Environmental Protection
23	Agency and began practicing law at that point in
24	time.
25	Q Okay. So if I say roughly you practiced

12

law for roughly 30 years; is that about right? 1 2 A Yeah. Yes. Q Okay. And tell me what your practice 3 focused on when you were a practicing attorney. 4 A Environmental law, and I also served as a 5 mediator. 6 Q During your time as a practicing attorney, 7 did you ever prosecute any civil cases that 8 alleged sexual harassment or gender 9 discrimination? 10 A No. 11 During the time that you practiced law, 12 did you defend any civil cases that involved 13 14 allegations of sexual harassment or gender 15 discrimination? 16 A No. Since you stopped practicing law, have you 17 been involved in any respect in any civil 18 litigation involving issue -- other than this 19 case, right? -- have you been involved in any 20 respect in any civil cases involving allegations 21 of sexual harassment or gender discrimination? 22 A So if I could just clarify your questions 23 to the extent that you are -- you're focusing 24

specifically on litigation, the answer is no.

25

To

already covered that topic. So I'm talking about what involvement you had during your law practice with any sort of cases or incidents or anything involving sexual harassment.

- 25

A So I would divide it into a couple of different categories. One is while I was practicing law, I wrote my first book in which I was analyzing institutional impediments to women succeeding in the -- you know, in the legal profession -- professional services. And in the context of writing that book, I did research in a variety of these issues and interviewed hundreds of women around the country in which a part of those conversations were about their experiences with workplace misconduct and harassment and some of the issues that were raised -- that are raised in this case as well as in my last book.

I also, as the only -- only one of two women partners in my law firm and the -- only the woman partner who was a mother, was heavily involved in issues in my firm for a number of years as they came up, including ultimately creating a -- a leadership committee comprised of senior lawyers in our firm, myself included, to specifically address issues around women's

17

clear. 1 Have you ever -- well, have you ever had 2 any formal training of any kind about how to act 3 as an investigator for claims of sexual 4 harassment? And let's start with in the 5 6 workplace. 7 A No. Q Okay. Have you ever had any formal 8 9 training of any kind regarding how to act as an investigator to investigate claims of sexual 10 harassment in -- on a college campus? 11 A No. 12 Have you ever acted as an investigator to 13 14 investigate claims of sexual harassment in the 15 workplace? 16 A So I just wanted to clarify again, I'm not 17 including in my answers, because of the narrow 18 focus of your question, 20 years of researching, writing, speaking about, and interviewing people 19 on this topic around the world, literally. So --20 but to the extent it is a very narrowly framed 21 question about participating in a formal 22 23 investigative process, then the answer would be 24 no. Well, actual -- so that actually doesn't 25

18

really answer my question. It answers my next 1 My question was: Have you ever served 2 as the investigator, not participated, served as 3 the investigator for a claim of sexual harassment 4 in the workplace? 5 A No. 6 O Okay. Have you ever served as the 7 investigator for purposes of investigating a claim 8 of sexual harassment on a college campus? 9 10 A No. O Okay. Have you ever had any formal 11 education or training on how to assess the 12 credibility of witnesses who are being interviewed 13 in the course of an investigation into sexual 14 harassment? 15 A Well, that's a narrowly -- very narrowly 16 17 focused question. So the answer to that very narrowly focused question would be no. 18 Q Okay. Have you ever had any formal 19 20 education or training on how to adjudicate claims 21 of sexual harassment on college campuses pursuant 22 to the requirements of Title IX? A If you are asking -- when you say the word 23 "formally," to the extent you're talking about a 24 specific course or program, then my answer is no. 25

19

But I keep going back to -- to the extent we're 1 talking about all of the other work and research 2 and writing I have done, it's, you know, a much 3 for -- a much broader picture. But no to the very 4 specific question about a course on Title IX. 5 O Right. No. I -- look. I know you've 6 conducted research, right? I get it. Research is 7 one thing, right? Actual experience adjudicating 8 claims is something very different, in my opinion. 9 So that's why I'm asking you these questions. 10 So you haven't had any training. Have you 11 ever participated -- personally participated in 12 the adjudication of a claim of sexual misconduct 13 on any college campus pursuant to the dictates of 14 Title IX? 15 A No. 16 So your only involvement with any 17 allegations of sexual misconduct -- and correct me 18 if I'm wrong -- is really as a researcher, right? 19 You interview women. You've written some books 20 21 about various issues involving gender disparity 22 and sexual harassment, but you've never been personally involved in adjudicating any claims of 23 24 sexual harassment? 25 A Well, I -- I wouldn't characterize the

1	introductory part of your of your question the
2	way that you did. I I view myself as far more
3	than a researcher, although I think the research
4	that I do is critical and broad and expansive.
5	But I would certainly say that the last book that
6	I wrote, The Shield of Silence, looking at how
7	power perpetuates a culture of harassment is
8	directly related to these issues. It wasn't a
9	side issue; it was the issue in which I reviewed
10	just, you know, major peer-reviewed work around
11	the world, work that's in the most prestigious
12	journals. The book itself was published by the
13	American Bar Association, which is a very
14	difficult publisher to break into. So I I
15	would not say that that was just research effort.
16	So I just want to clarify the beginning part. But
17	the formality and that is not what I do. I am
18	not a formal investigator. I'm not a formal
19	adjudicator in the way that you're asking those
20	questions.
21	Q Okay. Well and I ask those questions
22	because you've issued a very lengthy report with
23	all of your opinions about how the Ohio State
24	University did not properly conduct this
25	investigation, and you've never conducted an .

1	investigation in your entire life, right?
2	A My report my analysis was not to it
3	was an analysis looking at a bunch of data points,
4	that is, "What Happened?" And what happened to
5	Meng along the way that may, you know, again,
6	with with an expert, I assume the facts are the
7	facts. I'm not an adjudicator of facts. I have
8	to assume a certain set of facts is presented.
9	And then I analyze why the silence? What was the
10	power imbalance here? What were the privileged
11	issues? What were the issues that were missed?
12	It doesn't take being trained as a formal
13	investigator, after 30-plus years of practicing
14	law and being, you know writing four books and
15	hundreds of articles to actually understand and be
16	able to analyze a set of information against
17	decades of research and draw some conclusions
18	about that.
19	Q Okay. All right.
20	We'll get to your report; I promise. So
21	have you ever in your lifetime ever drafted an
22	investigation report for purposes of summarizing a
23	sexual harassment investigation?
24	A No.
25	Q Have you ever drafted any kind of

1	investigation report for any issue?
2	A I can you just clarify what you mean?
3	Q Sure. Let's focus on the workplace. Have
4	you ever drafted a report following an
5	investigation that was conducted regarding
6	anything in the workplace?
7	A Again, back to this topic no. No.
8	Q Okay. Do you have any formal training at
9	all about how to write an investigation report?
10	A Formal training meaning did I take a
11	course on that? I did not.
12	Q And you don't have any experience in that
13	area, either, because you've never written an
14	investigation report; is that correct?
15	A I have not written an investigative
16	report. I have analyzed many. I've written about
17.	them. I and having had numerous roles in
18	college environments, I've had opportunities to
19	as a visiting scholar, as a college trustee to be
20	involved in these issues in different ways in a
21	college environment; but, no, I did not have a
22	formal role. That's not what I do.
23	Q Have you ever had any formal training
24	about methods by which to interview victims of
25	sexual violence?

23

1 A No. 2 Q Have you ever sat in on an adjudication hearing for claims of sexual misconduct on a 3 4 college campus? A No. 5 Q Have you ever interviewed for any of your 6 research any sexual harassment investigators, 7 either investigators in the workplace or on 8 9 college campuses? A I have -- in the course of the work I do, 10 I have spoken to people who are in that role. I 11 don't know when you asked "interviewed," but I 12 speak to people who have numerous relationships to 13 these issues quite frequently and over a period of 14 15 years. Q Well, have you ever interviewed any of 16 17 these investigators about the proper method by 18 which to conduct an investigation into sexual misconduct? 19 20 A No. Okay. Can you tell me what the United 21 States Supreme Court's definition is of sexual 22 harassment pursuant to Title VII? Let's start 23 with that first. 24 A Off the top of my head, in specific 25

24

1 detail, no. How about the -- and I have two 2 O Okay. questions about Title IX. At the time that the 3 investigation was going on in this case in 2017 4 and 2018 related to Ms. Huang, can you tell me 5 what the United States Department of Education's 6 definition of sexual harassment was for purposes 7 of the application of Title IX? 8 I would not purport to try to recite 9 anybody's definition of sexual harassment by 10 11 memory. Q Okay. How about, can you tell me what the 12 United States Department of Education's current 13 definition of sexual harassment is for purposes of 14 15 application of Title IX? 16 A Same answer. Q Have you ever at any time in your career 17 drafted any policies or procedures to outline the 18 manner in which sexual harassment or sexual 19 misconduct investigations should be completed? 20 21 A No. Okay. Did you -- in this case, did you 22 review the Ohio State University's sexual 23 harassment policies and procedures? 24 25 I -- I did not review their procedures.

25

-- it was -- I reviewed the information that Ohio 1 2 State thought was important enough to include within the report of what they included in their 3 analysis. But I did not go outside the pages of 4 that report into Ohio State's process or 5 procedures. That was not what I was -- that was 6 not within the bounds of my expertise in doing 7 this report. I never purported that that was it. 8 Q No. No. I -- yeah. 9 A So it's a clearly different analysis. 10 not the investigator. 11 Q I know. I'm not accusing you of anything. 12 I just need to know these questions because --13 A Sure. 14 15 Q -- listen. I have deposed a million 16 experts, and I can tell you that without exception, experts come up with opinions that 17 18 aren't actually in their pages. Okay. So I need to figure out what you looked at and what you have 19 opinions about because I don't want, at the trial, 20 for you to sit up on the stand and say, "Oh, yeah. 21 And also Ohio State's policies aren't good." 22 Right? 23 24 A Sure. So I'm not accusing you of anything. 25

Case: 2:19-cv-01976-SDM-CMV Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 04/27/21 Page: 33 of 134 PAGEID #: 4412

Transcript of Lauren Rikleen Conducted on March 12, 2021

32

1 respectful work environments that is normative. That's what I focus on. 2 3 Q Okay. Can you tell me what the legal responsibility is of an employer who receives a 4 5 report of sexual harassment from an employee? A I'm not sure what you mean, "the legal 6 7 responsibility." Do you mean --This is a --8 9 A -- the (indiscernible) own policies 10 or 11 (Simultaneous conversation.) Q You're -- well, this is a lawsuit, right? 12 So we're talking about legal responsibilities. My 13 question is: Can you tell me what the legal 14 responsibility is of an employer who receives a 15 report of sexual harassment from an employee? 16 A So I am not -- I would not answer that 17 question just off the top of my head because I 18 19 don't purport to be providing legal advice to 20 employers about what to do when a claim is made. That is not my expertise. My expertise is on what 21 22 they should do. What -- how do you create a --23 how do you go even beyond what the law requires to ensure you have a workplace where people feel safe 24 and where they feel respected. My job is not --25

33

my -- my role is not to give legal advice. 1 2 absolutely do not give legal advice in any way on 3 this topic. Q Okay. So you can't tell me what the legal 4 5 responsibility is of an employer to take action following a report of sexual harassment from an 6 7 employee; is that correct? A I -- that -- I don't think that's a -- is 8 that a correct -- I'm not even sure how to answer 9 10 your question. I'm saying I would not -- first of all, I am sure that the answer would vary 11 depending on, to some extent, what state you're 12 in, what the requirements are within the setting, 13 and I don't -- I'm not sure where you're going 14 15 with that question, but I'm not comfortable 16 answering it just off the top of my head. Q Okay. Well, how about federal law? Under 17 18 federal law, under Title VII, if an employer gets a report of sexual harassment, what is it required 19 20 to do? A You're going to have to --21 If you don't know, you --22 MR. FOX: Objection. Objection. 23 asking for a legal --24 25 THE WITNESS: Right.

38

numbered. It's page 1 of the report. What is --1 2 I talk there also about her -- her silence and the reason for her silence. I would include that as 3 4 part of my opinion. 5 Q Okay. A So I would say my opinion is really 6 7 comprised of what's in the introduction and the 8 conclusion. 9 Q Okay. So -- but I -- lot of words, right? 10 MS. CORL: So actually we can take our 11 break now. We can come back to this when we -after we take our break. 12 13 MR. FOX: Thank you. 14 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) BY MS. CORL: 15 Q Okay. So before we went off the record --16 17 I want to make sure I have -- as I explained 18 earlier, the reasons I'm doing this, I want to make sure I have properly described all of your 19 20 opinions. Okay. So one of your opinions has to do with the 21 reasons that Ms. Huang stayed silent and did not 22 23 report her sexual harassment; is that correct? 24 A Yes. The other portion of your opinion 25 Okay.

39

1 is that the university's investigation into Ms. 2 Huang's claims discounted or entirely ignored information provided by Ms. Huang and diminished 3 her experiences by only partially addressing 4 5 claims that they did address. Is that another one of your opinions? 6 7 A Yes. Q And then I understand that the last one of 8 your opinions is as follows: The investigators 9 10 ignored the considerable available information demonstrating that sexual harassment involves a 11 power dynamic that is perpetuated when those in 12 positions of power and privilege are protected by 13 14 deeply entrenched institutional dynamics that fail 15 to hold perpetrators accountable and leave victims 16 too fearful of retribution to report the 17 misconduct, research that is directly applicable 18 to how Ms. Huang describes her own experiences; is that correct? 19 A Yes. Correct. 20 Do you have any -- do you have any other 21 22 opinions related to this case? 23 A So if you are reading into the record my opinion, I would just, again, go back to the first 24 25 page and broaden perhaps what you had read about

40

her remaining silent and include that, the second 1 2 sentence of the second paragraph in its entirety to just -- to be more comprehensive. 3 Q Okay. And that relates back to your first 4 5 opinion about why Ms. Huang remained silent; is 6 that correct? 7 . A Yes. Q And tell me again the second -- second 8 9 sentence of the second paragraph. Is that what you said? On the first page? 10 11 A You -- you were correct that the first part -- yes. The second sentence of the second 12 13 paragraph on the first page. 14 Q Okay. A And you -- you addressed correctly a piece 15 of that. I just would suggest if you were reading 16 into the record the entire opinion, you would want 17 to have that full sentence, which is long but, 18 nonetheless, contains all of the information. 19 Q Oh, that's right. That second sentence is 20 an entire paragraph, right? 21 22 A Hey, come on, now. 23 I want to make sure I have it in Okay. the record. Okay. So far --24 25 A I'm sensitive to those things; but, yes.

41

1 Here it was necessary. Q What I'm about to read into the record 2 relates back to your first opinion about the 3 reasons Ms. Huang remained silent; is that 4 5 correct? A Yes. 6 7 Okay. And as part of that opinion, this is what you have said: I was asked to -- oh, no. 8 9 "My opinion is that Ms. Huang remained silent because she was aware of the significant power 10 differential that existed between her and OSU 11 Professor Giorgio Rizzoni that her fear of 12 retaliation was confirmed to be accurate by 13 Professor Rizzoni's response to her increased 14 resistance and that her fears were further 15 confirmed by the reaction of both Professor 16 Rizzoni and OSU to her allegations where Ms. 17. Huang's credibility was discounted and her 18 experiences were diminished throughout the 19 investigative process;" is that correct? 20 21 A Yes. I'm giving you one more opportunity. 22 other opinions that you have about this case that 23 we have not already discussed? 24 25 I --Α

42

Q And I'm going to go through your report. 1 2 I'm going to go through your report. A Okay. I mean, I guess the only -- those 3 are the conclusory opinions for sure. I certainly 5 express opinions throughout the report as we go, but those were the conclusions, yes. 6 7 O Okay. So those are the --I'm saying that I didn't -- sorry. 8 9 there are other opinions that I express as to specific incidents in that analysis. 10 O But those three areas that we've read into 11 the record are really the overarching opinions; is 12 that correct? 13 14 A Yes. Okay. So let's talk about Ms. Huang 15 remaining silent. When did you interview Ms. 16 Huang about the reasons that she remained silent? 17 A I did not interview Ms. Huang about her 18 reasons for remaining silent. 19 Q Okay. So she has never told you the 20 reasons she remained silent; is that correct? 21 A I have read in detail her deposition as 22 well as the two substantial reports that she has 23 provided about her experiences and her fears. 24 treated those as data points and applied that 25

43

against a significant body of research, including 1 2 my own book, as well as research subsequent to 3 that book. Q Okay. Okay. 5 So let's go back, then. I want to stay on this first opinion about why she remained silent 6 7 and go back to that first page of your report. "My opinion is that Ms. Huang remained silent 8 9 because she was aware of the significant power differential that existed between her and OSU 10 Professor Rizzoni." 11 Did I read that correctly? 12 13 A Yes. What evidence do you have to support that 14 15 opinion? A As I just said, I -- how -- how she felt 16 is expressed in detail in her two lengthy reports 17 as well as the -- her deposition, and her behavior 18 19 was entirely consistent with a very extensive body of research and analysis. 20 O About what? 21 A About power differentials, fear of 22 23 retaliation, and how that impacts on why victims remain silent. 24 25 Okay. Can you tell me what authority that

Case: 2:19-cv-01976-SDM-CMV Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 04/27/21 Page: 45 of 134 PAGEID #: 4424

Transcript of Lauren Rikleen Conducted on March 12, 2021

44

Can you cite to the bodies of research to 1 is? 2 which you refer? 3 A In the --Besides your own book. Besides your own 4 5 book. A Well, in the appendix, I cited to a number 6 of studies that I -- that I -- that I cited to in 7 the -- in the report itself, and I have reviewed 8 over the years, in the work that I do, the 9 training and the writing collectively that I do 10 perhaps many hundreds, if not thousands, of 11 studies on this topic. This is a particular area 12 of focus and expertise of mine that I spend a lot 13 of time thinking about and writing about and 14 interviewing people about. 15 Q Well, my only question is: Can you tell 16 me, as you sit here today, any -- cite to any of 17 the research you've relied on? 18 A I cannot, off the top of my head, name for 19 you authors and studies. I could, however, open 20 cabinets behind me of stuffed drawers and files of 21 hundreds of studies that I have read over the 22 years --23 24 Q Okay. 25 A -- and continue to read in my work.

45

Q Okay. And that power differential, you 1 2 see that in all kinds of places, right? Bosses, subordinates, professors, students, presidents, 3 4 vice-presidents. I mean, you see that everywhere, 5 right? A Power differentials exist in numerous ways 6 7 in a workplace. This isn't just an Ohio State University 8 issue, is it? 9 10 A No. 11 MR. FOX: Objection to form. Well, the power differential between a 12 13 professor and a student is just -- it is what it is. It's not something that's unique to the Ohio 14 State University, is it? 15 A The power differential between a professor 16 17 and a student is an area so fraught with potential for harassment of this nature -- of the nature 18 that we're talking about, that there are many 19 studies and publications that have been written on 20 that topic. It is certainly not unique to Ohio 21 State but is a long recognized major problem 22 within higher education. 23 Q And what makes you think Ohio State 24 25 doesn't recognize that very issue?

1	A I did not say that one way or the other.
2	Q Okay. So, then, that's my question: Do
3	you have any reason to believe that Ohio State
4	didn't recognize that there's an imbalance of
5	power between professors and students?
6	A I have read as by I mean, I have
7	certainly in terms of media articles and other
8	reports that I have read about Ohio State's
9	particular experience with sexual abuse and sexual
10	harassment is would not lead me to draw a
11	conclusion that Ohio State has solved its
12	problems.
13	Q That's not my question.
14	A Well, I'm not
15	Q My question was: What leads you to
16	believe that Ohio State didn't realize or
17	appreciate that these this power differential
18	exists?
19	MR. FOX: Objection. Ohio State the
20	institution or the administration? Who are you
21	who are you referring to as "Ohio State"?
22	MS. CORL: The institution. Isn't that
23	who you're talking about?
24	MR. FOX: So what's what's the
25	question? Does the institution recognize a power

1	differential?
2	MS. CORL: No. My question is: What
3	evidence does this witness have that Ohio State
4	didn't recognize with respect to Ms. Huang or any
5	other sexual harassment case between a professor
6	and student, that there's a
7	MR. FOX: Was it with respect to Ms.
8	Huang, or is it a broader question? If it's a
9	broader question, I'm going to object.
10	BY MS. CORL:
11	Q Can you answer my question?
12	A Do you want to go I was waiting to make
13	sure you were both done. So I'm sorry. Do you
14	mind repeating the question or have her read it
15	back.
16	Q Sure.
17	A Either way.
18	Q No. I can well, let's just stick with
19	this case.
20	What information do you have that would
21	lead you to believe, if you do, that Ohio State
22	didn't recognize that there was a power
23	differential between Dr. Rizzoni and Ms. Huang?
24	A What I can respond to is that you have a
25	PhD student that is alleged to have experienced

48

years of harassment that she describes as 1 2 humiliating and shameful to her and that she did not feel that the -- there was anywhere to turn in 3 the institution to support her. That's all I can 4 5 say by way of an answer to that. I cannot 6 speak --7 Q Well --A -- to the entire institution. 8 9 Well -- and you read the depositions of the investigators in which they freely acknowledge 10 11 that they understood that there was a power differential. 12 13 Do you remember reading that testimony? 14 A Yeah, I do. 15 Okay. And you didn't read the policies of Ohio State, but the policies of Ohio State with 16 respect to sexual misconduct fully acknowledges 17 that there exists a power differential that is 18 19 recognized by the policies and procedures. 20 Are you aware of that? A A power -- a power -- recognizing a power 21 22 differential only matters if there are supports 23 and processes in place that allows victims to feel safe and to feel that that power differential will 24 The fact that somebody 25 not be used against them.

49

can intellectually know it exists is not 1 particularly relevant. What's relevant is what is 2 conveyed to somebody to know that they are in an. 3 institution where it is safe, as compared to what 4 might be on the record about that same institution 5 that would give a great deal of pause. 6 7 Q Well, you don't know what supports and processes were in place at Ohio State because you 8 didn't review any of that information; isn't that 9 10 correct? I don't -- I did not review the 11 process- -- the policies of Ohio State. 12 13 statement is correct. Q Okay. So you don't know what support was 14 in place for Ms. Huang? 15 16 A It doesn't matter what I know about what 17 was in place for Ms. Huang. What matters is what 18 was conveyed to her as a PhD -- as a PhD student, feeling that she was being harassed and what did 19 she feel was available to her. 20 Q Well, do you have some reason to believe 21 that Ms. Huang didn't know about Ohio State's 22 policies and procedures? 23 A As I indicated earlier, I am looking at 24 2.5 the facts of this case as presented. I'm not the

51

that she felt fearful and vulnerable and was in 1 danger of being retaliated against by a professor 2 with significant power and prestige over her. 3 Q Okay. Those are two -- your question and 4 5 my -- your answer and my question are missing one 6 another, okay? 7 A Okay. You're answering what she did, right? 8 9 decided not to report for whatever reason, and you 10 can opine about that later. That's not my My question is: Do you have some 11 question. 12 reason to believe she didn't know she could 13 report? 14 A I have no opinion about that one way or 15 the other. 16 Q Okay. All right. Okay. I'm reading on in your paragraph. We just 17 got done talking about the impact of the power 18 differential that resulted in retaliation. 19 we go on to the treatment she received once she 20 reported the behavior, right? 21 22 I'm sorry. Are you on page 2- --That first -- well, that's actually the --23 sorry about that. That was your first sentence. 24 The next part of your opinion on that first page 25

71

I only know what is in the report, and the 1 report is what is the -- the relevant document to try to understand how the investigators went about 3 4 their work. Q Okay. Can you cite me to any authority 5 that holds that investigation reports must detail 6 every single bit of minutiae that was included in 7 the investigation? Do you have any authority for 8 that proposition? 9 I would not accept the qualification that 10 we're talking about minutiae with respect to the 11 concerns that I'm raising. So I can't --12 Q Well, so why --13 A -- answer that question. 14 Let's just generally go to report writing 15 foundation and basics. Can you cite me to any 16 authority at all that you relied on to research 17 your conclusions about how to write investigation 18 reports? 19 That was not what I was tasked to do, and 20 21 Q Well, your entire opinion is based upon 22 how this report is written. You're claiming it's 23 not written properly; yet you don't have a single 24 piece of authority about how it should be written? 25

1	A I'm claiming I am not claiming it
2	wasn't written properly or improperly. I'm
3	claiming that based on the analysis provided in
4	the report, there is a lot missing with respect to
5	the conclusions and the discussion that we have
6	already outlined and talked about. This is
7	this is not my analysis is not about grading
8	somebody in report writing.
9	Q Well, your opinion is that somehow this
10	university fell below the standard of care because
11	of what is not contained in the report. And my
12	question to you is
13	MR. FOX: Objection to form.
14	Q how do you
15	MR. FOX: That's not what she's
16	testifying.
17	Q How do you know what should be contained
18	in the report? You've never written an
19	investigative report.
20	A I've never referenced a standard of care.
21	I referenced my my own expertise in this area
22	about the specific issues that I was asked to
23	analyze.
24	Q Okay.
25	A I do not

73

1	Q My question is
2	A purport to be somebody who is of value
3	of telling people how to write a report. You can
4	ask me that as many times as you want; the answer
5	won't change. I am not somebody here to direct
6	somebody or tell them how to write a report. I
7	wasn't hired to grade their report. I was hired
8	to analyze facts as presented around specific
9	issues that we discussed that were already read
10	about the conclusions of the report.
11	Q Okay. But your testimony is that there is
12	a whole bunch of very important stuff that's been
13	left out of this report; is that correct?
14	A All relevant to the issues that I was
15	opining on
16	Q Okay.
17	A correct.
18	Q And my question is: How do you know what
19	should be or shouldn't be in this report?
20	
21	A And my answer is that with respect to the
	issues on which I was opining, key information was
22	missing.
23	Q But you don't know whether it was
24	considered or not because you don't know what was

You just know what was written,

considered.

79

MS. CORL: Yes. 1 So I want to know what you know 2 other than reading studies about the prevalence of 3 sexual harassment in higher education. 4 A I don't understand your question. 5 Q Okay. Well, how do you know there is a 6 prevalence of sexual harassment in higher 7 education? 8 A Well, I know because I read voraciously on 9 this topic, including detailed studies. 10 reports within colleges, their own reports on this 11 There are numerous data points in the 12 issue. research about this specific issue, including in 13 detail in the Sexual Harassment Task Force from 14 Ohio State University. This is a long significant 15 problem in higher education, and there are 16 probably thousands of data points out there to 17 18 support that. Q Okay. How does the incidence of sexual 19 harassment in higher education differ from the 20 incidence of sexual harassment in the general 21 22 population? A What the -- the major differences are --23 well, they're differences in kind. I think when 24 you look at the -- the studies and analysis that 25

1	was in litigation at the time you drafted your
2	report; is that correct?
3	A I'm saying I simply do not recall, yes.
4	
	That is all I'm saying.
5	Q Okay. And did that case ever eventually
6	go to litigation, if you know?
7	A I know the case settled.
8	Q Do you know who the do you remember who
9	the parties were in that case?
10	A It was no. The names of the parties I
11	do not recall.
12	Q Well, for instance, was it a was it
13	another higher ed., case? Was it an employment
14	case?
15	A It was a workplace sexual harassment case.
16	Q Okay. Have you ever before been asked to
17	provide expert testimony in a higher ed., case?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay. Have you been subject to any sort
20	of professional discipline of any kind?
21	A No.
22	Q Have you ever been arrested and convicted
23	of a crime? Don't answer that. I was just
24	kidding.
25	A For the record, no.

1	Q You're you're going to submit an hour
2	a bill for the hours that you've spent, and
3	you and that will be paid independent of the
4	outcome of the case; is that
5	A Yes.
6	Q your understanding?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Other than the attorneys for Ms.
9	Huang, have you spoken to anyone about this case
10	or about your opinions?
11	A The other than people who are part of
12	Bruce Fox's team?
13	Q Correct.
14	A I have not.
15	Q Okay. Have you ever spoken with anyone
16	currently or formally employed by the Ohio State
17	University about this case?
18	A No.
19	Q Have you ever spoken to any other student
20	who claims that she was inappropriately touched by
21	Dr. Rizzoni?
22	A No.
23	Q Have you drawn some sort of conclusion
24	about whether Ms. Huang's allegations of sexual
25	misconduct are true or not?

94

That is not within the purview of what I 1 2 was asked to do. That's not an opinion that I have drawn. 3 Q Okay. And so also you haven't drawn any 4 conclusions with respect to the credibility of Ms. 5 Huang, correct? 6 A I -- I have not. That's not what was in 7 the purview of what I was asked to do. 8 Q And you've drawn no conclusions about the 9 credibility of Dr. Rizzoni, correct? 10 11 That also is not in the purview of what I 12 was asked to do. Q And you've drawn no conclusions regarding 13 the credibility of any witnesses that were 14 interviewed for the purpose of the investigation; 15 is that correct? 16 A I would say that with respect to the 17 information provided in the report and the 18 credibility of the witnesses, that there -- that I 19 certainly have drawn an opinion that there was 20 information missing to be able to properly assess 21 22 that. 23 Q Well, that's not my question. My question 24 is: Have you made any credibility determinations 25 regarding any of the witnesses who were